



**Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, (9814354649)**

S/o Late Sh. Kuldip Raj Mahajan,  
President of Anti Corruption Council, Opp. Water Tank,  
Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot.

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o XEN, Centre Works Division PWD B&R,  
Mohali.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Superintending Engineer, Centre Works Circle, PWD B&R, Punjab,  
Sector-17, Chandigarh.

**Appeal Case No.3564 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on	:	05-05-2021
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	16-06-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Yogesh Mahajan  
Respondent: Absent

**ORDER:**

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 06.08.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

**Information Sought:**

1) Subject matter of information

Grants Received/utilized in your  
Division period 01-05-2020 to 01-05-2021

Description of information required

1) Attested copies of Financial/ Comparative  
statements approved by the competent  
authority for the works by E-Tendering  
undertaken/carried out between the period  
01-05-2020 to 01-05-2021

2) Attested copies of Financial statements  
approved by the competent authority for the  
works by Tender work by you have  
undertaken/carried out between the period  
01-05-2020 to 01-05-2021.

3) Attested copies of Tender Register of Division  
01-05-2020 to 01-05-2021

4) List of work order book number and Sr. No issued by  
you to your SDE and if SDE got printed from market,  
provide us list period 01-05-2020 to 01-05-2021

2. Appellant, Sh. Yogesh Mahajan acknowledged the receiving of sought information and has requested to close the case.
3. As the information stands supplied therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 21.02.2022**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, (9814354649)**

S/o Late Sh. Kuldip Raj Mahajan,  
President of Anti Corruption Council, Opp. Water Tank,  
Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot.

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o XEN, Centre Works Division PWD B&R,  
Mohali.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Superintending Engineer, Centre Works Circle, PWD B&R, Punjab,  
Sector-17, Chandigarh.

**Appeal Case No.3570 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on	:	05-05-2021
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	16-06-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Yogesh Mahajan  
Respondent: Absent

**ORDER:**

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 06.08.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

**Information Sought:**

1) Subject matter of information Works undertaken/ Carried out during  
in your Sub Divisions above said  
Period 01-05-2020 to 01-05-2021

No.1, Sub Divisional Officer, Center works  
Sub Division, PWD B&R, Chandigarh,

No.2 Sub Divisional Officer, Center works  
Sub Division No.1, PWD B&R, Mohali,

No.3 Sub Divisional Officer, Center works  
Sub Division No.3, PWD B&R, Mohali,

Description of information required 1) Attested copies of work order book all sub  
divisions for the Period 01-05-2020 to 01-05-2021

2) List of name of work and cost of work M.B written  
by S.D.O, your all S/Divn, Period 01-05-2020 to  
05-05-2020

2. Appellant, Sh. Yogesh Mahajan acknowledged the receiving of sought information and has requested to close the case.
3. As the information stands supplied therefore, no cause of action is required in this case.  
Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 21.02.2022**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Jasbir Singh, (9888296107)**

Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bolapur. Jhabewal,  
Post Office Ramgarh, District Ludhiana -141123

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,  
Pathankot.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,  
Pathankot.

**Appeal Case No.3576 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on	:	03-10-2020
PIO replied on	:	28-10-2020
First appeal filed on	:	05-11-2020
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Jasbir Singh  
Respondent:

**ORDER:**

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 06.08.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

**Information Sought:**

(5) ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਣ ਵਾਲੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵੇਰਵਾ :- NIC ਦੇ ਨਾਲ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਦਫਤਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਕੀਤੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਵਿਹਾਰ ਅਤੇ EMail ਬਾਰੇ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਲੈਣ ਬਾਰੇ ।

(1):- ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਮਾਨ ਜੀ ਜਨਵਰੀ 2019 ਤੋਂ ਲੈ ਕੇ ਹੁਣ ਤੱਕ NIC ਦੇ ਨਾਲ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਦਫਤਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਕੀਤੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਵਿਹਾਰ ਅਤੇ ਕੀਤੀਆਂ EMail ਦੀ ਤਸਦੀਕਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ ।

2. Appellant, **Sh. Jasbir Singh** acknowledged the receiving of sought information and has requested to close the case.
3. As the information stands supplied therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 21.02.2022**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Paramjit Singh, (9876551253)**

S/o Sh. Kuldip Singh,  
# 47D, Punjab Avenue, Urban Estate - I,  
Jalandhar.

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Asstt. Commissioner State Tax,  
Jalandhar-2.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Deputy Commissioner of State Tax,  
Jalandhar.

**Appeal Case No.3674 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on : 13-05-2021  
PIO replied on : -  
First appeal filed on : 11-06-2021  
First Appellate Authority order : -

Present: Appellant: Sh. Paramjit Singh  
Respondent: Sh. Gurjit Singh (ETO), 9876090708

**ORDER:**

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 16.08.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

**Information Sought:**

ਚੰਨੀ ਤੇ ਕਿ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਯੋਗਤ ਅਧੀਨ ਚੰਨੀ ਮੁੱਦਾ ਮੁੱਦਾ  
ਕਰਦੀ ਸੀ।  
ਜਿਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਆਪਣੀ ਤੇ ਕਾਨਿਫਰਮ ਹੋਣ ਲਿਖੀ ਕਰਦੀ ਹਨ। ਤੇ  
ਲਿਖਦਾ ਹਨ ਤੇ ਅੱਗੇ ਵੱਧ ਕੇ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ  
ਇਹ ਅੱਗੇ ਵੱਧ ਜਿਸ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀ ਦੇ ਅਧੀਨ ਹੋ ਗਏ, ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀ  
ਜੇਕਰੀ ਹੁਣਾ ਤੇ ਕਾਪੀ ਮੁੱਦਾ ਕਰਦੀ ਸੀ।

2. Appellant, **Sh. Paramjit Singh** acknowledged the receiving of sought information and has requested to close the case.
3. As the information stands supplied therefore, no cause of action is required in this case.  
Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 21.02.2022**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Paramjit Singh, (9876551253)**

S/o Sh. Kuldip Singh,  
# 47D, Punjab Avenue, Urban Estate - I,  
Jalandhar.

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Asstt. Commissioner of State Tax,  
Jalandhar-I.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Deputy Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Jalandhar Division,  
Jalandhar.

**Appeal Case No.3709 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on	:	04-05-2021
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	11-06-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Paramjit Singh

Respondent: Absent

**ORDER:**

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 17.08.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

**Information Sought:**

ਦੇਸ਼ੀ ਤੇ ਨਿ ਖੋਜਾਧ ਟਾਇਲਿੰਗਸ ਰੁਜ਼ਾਨਾ ਗਤਵਰ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਵਿਭਾਗੀ.  
ਐਲੀਮੈਂਟਰੀ ਸਕੂਲੀ ਵਿਭਾਗ ਮੁਖੀਆਫ ਮਾਫ਼ਰਾ ਨਾ ਮਾਫ਼ਰਾਤ ਸਤਰਕ ਹੀ ਭੇਜੀ  
ਫੀਡਬੈਕ। ਰੁਜ਼ਾਨਾ ਮਾਫ਼ਰਾਤ ਰੋਜ਼ਾ ਵਿਭਾਗ (ਮੁਖੀਆਫ ਮਾਫ਼ਰਾ) 16, 76, 62222 ਰੁਪਏ  
ਵਿਭਾਗੀ ਨਹੀਂ ਭੇਜੀ। ਇਸ ਸੰਬੰਧ ਵਿਭਾਗ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਟਾਇਲਿੰਗਸ ਰੁਜ਼ਾਨਾ ਮੁਖੀਆਫ ਮੁਖੀਆਫ  
ਨਾ ਮਾਫ਼ਰਾਤ ਸਤਰਕ ਹੀ ਮੁਖੀਆਫ ਮਾਫ਼ਰਾ ਮਾਫ਼ਰਾ ਮਾਫ਼ਰਾ ਮਾਫ਼ਰਾ ਮਾਫ਼ਰਾ  
ਫੀਡਬੈਕ ਭੇਜੀ ਰੁਜ਼ਾਨਾ ਵਿਭਾਗ ਦੀ ਰਾਖੀ ਮੁਖੀਆਫ ਮਾਫ਼ਰਾ ਰੁਜ਼ਾਨਾ

2. Appellant, **Sh. Paramjit Singh** acknowledged the receiving of sought information and has requested to close the case.
3. As the information stands supplied therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**

**Sd/-**

Chandigarh  
Dated: 21.02.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)  
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



**Sh. Piara Lal, (9779146205)**

S/o Sh. Sant Ram,  
Village R/o Tehsil Baba Bakala Sahib,  
Distt Amritsar.

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Treasury Officer,  
Amritsar.

.....Respondent

**Complaint Case No.1321 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on : 11-09-2021  
PIO replied on : -

Present: Appellant: Absent

Complainant: Sh. Mandeep Singh (Treasury Officer), 9914804242

**ORDER:**

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 13.12.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

2. The complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, in which no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

**Complaint Case No.1321 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference and is also directed to call the complainant **Sh. Piara Lal** within 15 days of receipt of this order provide the information/reply pertaining to this RTI application. A compliance report of the same has to be sent in the commission. The appeal is disposed of accordingly, with the above observations and directions.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 21.02.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**





**Sh. Jaswinder Singh, (7087099537)**

S/o Late Sh. Mewa Singh,  
VPO Bhagwanpura, Tehsil Samrala,  
Distt Ludhiana - 141414

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Asstt. Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Samrala,  
Distt Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**Complaint Case No.1328 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on : 09-09-2021  
PIO replied on : 28-10-2021

Present: None

**ORDER:**

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 13.12.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

2. The complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, in which no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.



**Complaint Case No.1328 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference and is also directed to call the complainant **Sh. Jaswinder Singh** within 15 days of receipt of this order provide the information/reply pertaining to this RTI application. A compliance report of the same has to be sent in the commission. The appeal is disposed of accordingly, with the above observations and directions.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 21.02.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Dr. Brij Mohan Barthwal, (9463956394)**

Astha Awas, Kulam Road,  
Nawanshahr - 144514

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o E.O, Nagar Council,  
Nawanshahr.

.....Respondent

**Complaint Case No.18 of 2022**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on : 21-07-2021  
PIO replied on : 10-08-2021

Present: NONE

**ORDER:**

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 13.12.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

2. The complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, in which no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

**Complaint Case No.18 of 2022**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference and is also directed to call the complainant **Dr. Brij Mohan Barthwal** within 15 days of receipt of this order provide the information/reply pertaining to this RTI application. A compliance report of the same has to be sent in the commission. The appeal is disposed of accordingly, with the above observations and directions.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 21.02.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**